

# Fundamentals of Mathematical Informatics

## The Channel Capacity

Francesco Buscemi

### Lecture Five

## The information channel capacity: definition

- Consider a DMC  $\mathcal{N}$  with input alphabet  $\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ , output alphabet  $\mathcal{Y} = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ , and channel matrix  $\llbracket p_{ij} \rrbracket$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$ ).
- Let  $X$  be an input RV, with range equal to  $\mathcal{X}$  and probability distribution  $\pi_i$ .
- Feeding  $X$  through the channel  $\mathcal{N}$ , we obtain a pair of dependent RVs  $(X, Y)$ , with range  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$  and joint probability distribution  $\Pr\{X = x_i, Y = y_j\} = \pi_i p_{ij}$ .
- From  $\pi_i p_{ij}$ , we then compute the mutual information

$$I(X; Y) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \Pr\{X = x_i, Y = y_j\} \log_2 \frac{\Pr\{X = x_i, Y = y_j\}}{\Pr\{X = x_i\} \Pr\{Y = y_j\}}.$$

If the channel  $\mathcal{N}$  is fixed,  $\llbracket p_{ij} \rrbracket$  is fixed too, and  $I(X; Y)$  is a function of the probability distribution  $\pi_i$  of  $X$  only.

## The information channel capacity

The information capacity of the channel  $\mathcal{N}$  is defined as

$$C(\mathcal{N}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{\{\pi_i\}} I(X; Y).$$

## Example: the information capacity of the BSC

Consider a binary symmetric channel (BSC) with error probability  $\gamma$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} I(X; Y) &= H(Y) - H(Y|X) \\ &= H(Y) - \sum_{x=0,1} p(x)H(Y|X = x) \\ &= H(Y) - \sum_{x=0,1} p(x) \underbrace{\{-\gamma \log_2 \gamma - (1 - \gamma) \log_2 (1 - \gamma)\}}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H(\gamma)} \\ &= H(Y) - H(\gamma) \\ &\leq 1 - H(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, choosing  $p(0) = p(1) = 1/2$ , we obtain  $\Pr\{Y = 0\} = \Pr\{Y = 1\}$ , i.e.,  $H(Y) = 1$ .

**Theorem:** the capacity of the binary symmetric channel with error probability  $\gamma$  is equal to  $C(\gamma) = 1 - H(\gamma)$ .

## Example: the information capacity of the BEC

Consider a binary erasure channel (BEC) with erasure probability  $\gamma$ . As for the binary symmetric channel,  $I(X; Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X) = H(Y) - H(\gamma)$ .

In order to compute  $H(Y)$ , we introduce the RV  $E$ , function of  $Y$ , defined as

$$E = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } Y \neq \ominus, \\ 1, & \text{if } Y = \ominus. \end{cases}$$

Since  $E$  is function of  $Y$ ,  $H(E|Y) = 0$ . This implies that:

$$\begin{aligned} H(Y) &= H(Y, E) - H(E|Y) \\ &= H(Y, E) \\ &= H(E) + H(Y|E) \\ &= H(E) + \Pr\{E = 0\}H(Y|E = 0) + \Pr\{E = 1\}H(Y|E = 1) \\ &= H(\gamma) + (1 - \gamma)H(X) + \gamma \cdot 0 \\ &= H(\gamma) + (1 - \gamma)H(X). \end{aligned}$$

But then,  $I(X; Y) = H(Y) - H(\gamma) = H(\gamma) + (1 - \gamma)H(X) - H(\gamma) = (1 - \gamma)H(X)$ . The maximum is achieved when  $H(X) = 1$ .

**Theorem:** the capacity of the binary erasure channel with erasure probability  $\gamma$  is equal to  $C(\gamma) = 1 - \gamma$ .

# The operational channel capacity: definitions

Consider a DMC  $\mathcal{N}$  with input alphabet  $\mathcal{X}$  and output alphabet  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

- an  $(M, n)$ -code  $\mathcal{C}$  is given by an encoding  $\mathbf{c} : \{1, 2, \dots, M\} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{(n)}$  and a decoding  $g : \mathcal{Y}^{(n)} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ .
- the **rate** of an  $(M, n)$ -code is  $R \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\log_2 M}{n}$ , and is measured in 'bits per transmission.'
- **(average) error probability**:  $e(\mathcal{C}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \Pr\{g(Y^n) \neq i | X^n = \mathbf{c}_i\}$ .
- **maximum error probability**:  $\hat{e}(\mathcal{C}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_i \Pr\{g(Y^n) \neq i | X^n = \mathbf{c}_i\}$ .
- a rate  $R$  is **(asymptotically) achievable**, if, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a sequence of  $(\lfloor 2^{nR} \rfloor, n)$ -codes  $\mathcal{C}_n$  and an integer  $n_0(\epsilon)$  such that, for any  $n \geq n_0(\epsilon)$ ,  $\hat{e}(\mathcal{C}_n) \leq \epsilon$ . (That is,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{e}(\mathcal{C}_n) = 0$ .)

## The (asymptotic) operational channel capacity

The operational capacity of the channel  $\mathcal{N}$  is defined as

$$C'(\mathcal{N}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_R \{R \text{ achievable rate}\}.$$

# The noisy coding theorem for general DMCs

## Information capacity $\equiv$ (asymptotic) operational capacity

For any DMC  $\mathcal{N}$ , any rate  $R < C$  is asymptotically achievable, i.e.,

$$C(\mathcal{N}) = C'(\mathcal{N}).$$

- C.E. Shannon, *A mathematical theory of communication*. Bell Syst. Tech. J., **27**:379-423,623-656 (1948).
- A. Feinstein, *A new basic theorem of information theory*. IER Trans. Inf. Theory, **IT-4**:2-22 (1954).
- R.G. Gallager, *A simple derivation of the coding theorem and some applications*. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, **IT-11**:3-18 (1965).

# Coding theorem for the BSC: direct part

We will only prove this particular statement:

## Coding theorem: achievability (direct part)

Given a binary symmetric channel with bit-flip probability  $0 \leq \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$ , for any choice of parameters  $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2} - \gamma$  and  $\eta > 0$ , there exists a sequence of  $(M_n, n)$ -codes  $\mathcal{C}_n$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{e}(\mathcal{C}_n) = 0,$$

and

$$M_n = \left\lfloor 2^{n[C(\gamma+\delta)-\eta]} \right\rfloor,$$

i.e., any rate  $R < C(\gamma)$  is asymptotically achievable.

**Remark.** The statement is restricted to the case  $\gamma < 1/2$ : the case  $\gamma > 1/2$  is obtained by flipping all the bits received, while the case  $\gamma = 1/2$  is obtained by continuity.

# Useful facts required for the proof

## Chebyshev's inequality (for coin tosses)

Consider a coin with  $\Pr\{\text{head}\} = 1 - \Pr\{\text{tail}\} = \gamma$ . The probability that, in a sequence of  $n$  tosses, the number of heads  $H$  is strictly greater than  $n\gamma$  is bounded as

$$\Pr\{H \geq n\gamma + \Delta\} \leq \frac{n\gamma(1-\gamma)}{\Delta^2},$$

for any  $\Delta > 0$ .

**Example:** tossing 100 times a fair coin ( $\gamma = 1/2$ ), the probability of obtaining 60 or more heads is at most 25%. For 70 heads,  $\leq 11\%$ . For 90 heads,  $\leq 2\%$ .

## The tail inequality

For any  $0 \leq \xi \leq 1/2$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \xi n \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} \leq 2^{nH(\xi)}.$$

**Reminder:** the symbol  $\binom{n}{k}$  denotes the Newton binomial coefficient  $\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$  (note that  $0! \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1$ ): it gives the number of  $k$ -element subsets of an  $n$ -element set.

# Proof: (random) construction of the code

- **Encoding:**

- ① Fix integers  $M$  (the size of the code) and  $n$  (the length of the code): the codebook is an  $M$ -element subset of  $V_n$  (the set of all  $2^n$  binary strings of length  $n$ ).
- ② All codewords  $\mathbf{c}_i$  are drawn at random from  $V_n$ :  $\Pr\{\mathbf{c}_i = \mathbf{x}\} = 2^{-n}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq M$  and for all  $\mathbf{x} \in V_n$ . (For example, it could be  $\mathbf{c}_i = \mathbf{c}_j$  for  $i \neq j$ ; we do not care.)

- **Decoding:**

- ① Fix integer  $r \geq 1$  and construct the sphere of Hamming radius  $r$  around each element  $\mathbf{y} \in V_n$ :  $S_r(\mathbf{y}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\mathbf{z} : d(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}) \leq r\}$ .
- ② Upon receiving  $\mathbf{y}$ , if inside  $S_r(\mathbf{y})$  is contained one and only one codeword  $\mathbf{c}_j$ , we decode  $\mathbf{y}$  with  $j$ . Otherwise an error is declared.

# Proof: error probability analysis (part 1 of 3)

Remember:  $\gamma < 1/2$ .

- Imagine that  $\mathbf{Y}$  is received: a decoding error happens if more than  $r$  bit-flip errors occurred (event  $A$ ) or if there are two (or more) codewords in  $S_r(\mathbf{Y})$  (event  $B$ ).
- Since  $\Pr\{A \text{ or } B\} \leq \Pr\{A\} + \Pr\{B\}$ , we independently consider events  $A$  and  $B$ .
- Let us begin with  $\Pr\{A\} = \Pr\{\text{more than } r \text{ bit-flip errors}\}$ .
- $\Pr\{A\}$  is equal to the probability of obtaining more than  $r$  'heads' with  $n$  tosses of a coin with  $\Pr\{\text{head}\} = \gamma$ .
- Fix  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\gamma + \delta \leq 1/2$  and take  $r = \lfloor n\gamma + n\delta \rfloor$ .
- By Chebyshev's inequality,  $\Pr\{A\} \leq \frac{\gamma(1-\gamma)}{n\delta^2}$ .
- Let us move onto  $\Pr\{B\}$ .

## Proof: error probability analysis (part 2 of 3)

Remember:  $\gamma < 1/2$ ,  $0 < \delta \leq 1/2 - \gamma$ , and  $r = \lfloor n\gamma + n\delta \rfloor$ .

- How to evaluate  $\Pr\{B\} = \Pr\{\text{two or more codewords in } S_r(\mathbf{Y})\}$ ?
- How many distinct elements are in  $S_r(\mathbf{Y})$ ? There is  $\mathbf{Y}$  itself... There are  $n$  distinct elements that differ from  $\mathbf{Y}$  in one place... There are the  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  distinct elements that differ from  $\mathbf{Y}$  in two places... In general, there are the  $\binom{n}{k}$  distinct elements that differ from  $\mathbf{Y}$  in  $k$  places. Therefore, for any  $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ ,  $S_r(\mathbf{Y})$  contains exactly  $\sum_{k=0}^r \binom{n}{k}$  distinct elements.
- Therefore, for each  $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ , the probability that a codeword belongs to  $S_r(\mathbf{Y})$  can be exactly computed as  $2^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{n}{k}$ .
- Given that one codeword, say  $\mathbf{c}_j$ , is in  $S_r(\mathbf{Y})$ , then
$$\begin{aligned} & \Pr\{\mathbf{c}_1 \in S_r(\mathbf{Y}) \text{ or } \cdots \text{ or } \mathbf{c}_{j-1} \in S_r(\mathbf{Y}) \text{ or } \mathbf{c}_{j+1} \in S_r(\mathbf{Y}) \text{ or } \cdots \text{ or } \mathbf{c}_M \in S_r(\mathbf{Y})\} \\ & \leq \sum_{i \neq j} \Pr\{\mathbf{c}_i \in S_r(\mathbf{Y})\} \\ & = (M-1)2^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{n}{k} < M2^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{n}{k} \leq M2^{-n} 2^{nH(\gamma+\delta)} = M2^{-n(1-H(\gamma+\delta))} \\ & = M2^{-nC(\gamma+\delta)}. \end{aligned}$$

## Proof: error probability analysis (part 3 of 3)

- Until now, we have evaluated the (average) error probability of a randomly constructed  $(M, n)$ -code  $\mathcal{C}$  as follows:

$$e(\mathcal{C}) \leq \frac{\gamma(1-\gamma)}{n\delta^2} + M2^{-nC(\gamma+\delta)},$$

where  $n$ ,  $M$ , and  $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2} - \gamma$  are free parameters.

- This means that, for any  $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2} - \gamma$ , there always exists a sequence of random  $(M_n, n)$ -codes  $\mathcal{C}_n$  such that  $e(\mathcal{C}_n) \rightarrow 0$ , but... **provided that  $M_n 2^{-nC(\gamma+\delta)} \rightarrow 0$ .**
- For example, for any arbitrarily small  $\eta > 0$ , take  $M_n = \lfloor 2^{n[C(\gamma+\delta)-\eta]} \rfloor$ , so that  $M_n 2^{-nC(\gamma+\delta)} = 2^{-n\eta} \rightarrow 0$ .
- Then, for any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists a large enough  $n$  that achieves the rate  $R_n = C(\gamma + \delta) - \eta$ , for any arbitrarily small  $\eta > 0$ .
- We still need to evaluate the maximum error probability!

# Proof: from average error probability to maximum error probability

- Assume that  $e(\mathcal{C}) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \Pr\{g(Y^n) \neq i | X^n = \mathbf{c}_i\} \leq \epsilon$ .
- We can conclude that no more than  $M/2$  codewords in  $\mathcal{C}$  can be such that  $\Pr\{g(Y^n) \neq i | X^n = \mathbf{c}\} > 2\epsilon$ .
- This implies that there exist at least  $M/2$  codewords in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\Pr\{g(Y^n) \neq i | X^n = \mathbf{c}\} \leq 2\epsilon$ .
- So, if we know that there exists a sequence of  $(M_n, n)$ -codes  $\mathcal{C}_n$  with  $e(\mathcal{C}_n) \rightarrow 0$ , we know that there exists a sequence of  $(\frac{M_n}{2}, n)$ -codes  $\mathcal{C}'_n$  with  $\hat{e}(\mathcal{C}'_n) \rightarrow 0$ .
- Computing the rate of  $\mathcal{C}'_n$ :  $\frac{1}{n} \log_2(\frac{M_n}{2}) = \frac{1}{n}(\log_2 M_n - 1) \rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \log_2 M_n$ .
- This implies that, without decreasing the asymptotic rate, we can make the maximum error probability go to zero.
- In other words, for any  $\delta, \eta > 0$ , the rate  $R_n = C(\gamma + \delta) - \eta$  is asymptotically achievable.
- By taking the limits  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  and  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ , any rate  $R < C(\gamma)$  is asymptotically achievable.

## Some remarks

- The proof shows that, for length  $n$  large enough, a good code can be constructed very easily, just by choosing the codewords at random.
- We pay this at the decoding stage: the receiver needs to use a table lookup scheme, i.e., a 'big book' where it's written what to do for each received  $\mathbf{y}$ , but **the size of this book grows exponentially in  $n$** .
- Coding theory aims at constructing coding techniques that strike a good tradeoff between capacity and decoding efficiency.
- What happens if we try to transmit data at a rate  $R > C$ ? **Weak converse**: the error probability cannot go to zero, i.e., for any sequence of  $(M_n, n)$ -codes with  $\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \log_2 M_n > C$ , there exists  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $e(\mathcal{C}_n) > \epsilon_0$ , for all  $n$ . **Strong converse**: for any sequence of  $(M_n, n)$ -codes with  $\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \log_2 M_n > C$ ,  $e(\mathcal{C}_n) \rightarrow 1$ .
- **Remark**: the theorem (and its converse) does not address the case  $R = C$ .

## Summary of lecture five

- For any DMC channel, its information capacity is asymptotically achievable.
- The construction in the achievability proof involves a random coding argument.
- With random coding, coding is easy, decoding is hard.
- Actual codes try to balance rate and decoding efficiency.
- The capacity is a sharp transition point: error goes to zero for  $R < C$ , while it goes to one for  $R > C$ .

## Keywords for lecture five

information channel capacity, operational channel capacity, the noisy coding theorem for DMCs, random coding argument