

## 4 Unitary representation

In this section, we consider the following problem:

**Question 4.1.** *Which irreducible representations in Theorem 3.27 are unitary?*

### 4.1 Inner product

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $W$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ . A map  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a *Hermitian form* if

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_1 + u_2, v \rangle &= \langle u_1, v \rangle + \langle u_2, v \rangle \text{ for } \forall u_1, u_2, v \in W, \\ \langle \alpha u, v \rangle &= \alpha \langle u, v \rangle \text{ for } \forall u, v \in W \text{ and } \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \\ \langle u, v_1 + v_2 \rangle &= \langle u, v_1 \rangle + \langle u, v_2 \rangle \text{ for } \forall u, v_1, v_2 \in W, \\ \langle u, \alpha v \rangle &= \bar{\alpha} \langle u, v \rangle \text{ for } \forall u, v \in W \text{ and } \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \\ \langle u, v \rangle &= \overline{\langle v, u \rangle} \text{ for } \forall u, v \in W. \end{aligned}$$

A hermitian form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is called

- *non-degenerate* if for  $W \ni \forall u \neq 0$ , there exists  $v \in W$  such that  $\langle u, v \rangle \neq 0$ ,
- *positive definite* if for  $W \ni \forall u \neq 0$ ,  $\langle u, u \rangle > 0$ .

Note that positive definite  $\Rightarrow$  non-degenerate, and  $\nRightarrow$  in general. A positive definite hermitian form is said to be a (*unitary*) *inner product*. A representation  $W$  (of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ ) is *unitary* if there exists a unitary inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  such that

$$\langle \pi(g)u, \pi(g)v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle \text{ for } \forall u, v \in W, \forall g \in G = SL(2, \mathbb{R}).$$

Translate the unitarity condition into Lie algebras:

Take an element  $A \in \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ , put  $g = I + \varepsilon A$  ( $\bar{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon$ ). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(g)u, \pi(g)v \rangle &\equiv \langle u + \varepsilon \pi'(A)u, v + \varepsilon \pi'(A)v \rangle \pmod{O(\varepsilon^2)} \\ &\equiv \langle u, v \rangle + \varepsilon (\langle \pi'(A)u, v \rangle + \langle u, \pi'(A)v \rangle) \pmod{O(\varepsilon^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since  $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle \pi(g)u, \pi(g)v \rangle$ , we have

$$\langle \pi'(A)u, v \rangle + \langle u, \pi'(A)v \rangle = 0 \text{ for } \forall u, v \in W \text{ and } \forall A \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (4.1)$$

## 4.2 Unitary representation of $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{su}(1, 1) &:= \mathfrak{u}(1, 1) \cap \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{Example 2.3 (3) and Example 2.1} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} i\alpha & \beta + i\gamma \\ \beta - i\gamma & i\delta \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \cap \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid \begin{array}{l} a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}, \\ a + d = 0 \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} i\alpha & \beta + i\gamma \\ \beta - i\gamma & -i\alpha \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\begin{pmatrix} i\alpha & \beta + i\gamma \\ \beta - i\gamma & -i\alpha \end{pmatrix} = \alpha iH + \beta(X + Y) + \gamma i(X - Y)$ , and  $\{iH, X + Y, i(X - Y)\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$ .

Suppose  $A = iH$ . Then the condition (4.1) is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle \pi'(iH)u, v \rangle + \langle u, \pi'(iH)v \rangle \\ &= \langle i\pi'(H)u, v \rangle + \langle u, i\pi'(H)v \rangle \\ &= i\langle \pi'(H)u, v \rangle - i\langle u, \pi'(H)v \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\langle \pi'(H)u, v \rangle = \langle u, \pi'(H)v \rangle. \quad (4.2)$$

Note that  $\pi'$  is complex linear, i.e.,

$$\pi'(\alpha A) = \alpha \pi'(A) \text{ for } \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \forall A \in \mathfrak{su}(1, 1).$$

**Exercise 4.3.** Other two conditions for  $A = X + Y$  and  $A = i(X - Y)$  can be written as

$$\langle \pi'(X)u, v \rangle + \langle u, \pi'(Y)v \rangle = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

## 4.3 H-invariance

**Lemma 4.4.** Suppose  $W = V(\lambda, I)$  has a non-degenerate hermitian form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  such that

$$\langle \pi'(H)u, v \rangle = \langle u, \pi'(H)v \rangle \text{ for } \forall u, v \in W.$$

Then  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\langle v_\mu, v_\mu \rangle \neq 0$  for  $\forall \mu \in I$ , and  $\langle v_\mu, v_{\mu'} \rangle = 0$  for  $\forall \mu, \mu' \in I$  with  $\mu \neq \mu'$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mu, \mu' \in I$ . From

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \pi'(H)v_\mu, v_{\mu'} \rangle &= \langle \mu v_\mu, v_{\mu'} \rangle = \mu \langle v_\mu, v_{\mu'} \rangle, \\ \langle v_\mu, \pi'(H)v_{\mu'} \rangle &= \langle v_\mu, \mu' v_{\mu'} \rangle = \overline{\mu'} \langle v_\mu, v_{\mu'} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

and (4.2), we have

$$(\mu - \overline{\mu'}) \langle v_\mu, v_{\mu'} \rangle = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Since  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is non-degenerate on  $V(\lambda, I)$ ,

$$I \ni \forall \mu \neq 0, \exists \mu' \in I \text{ such that } \langle \mu, \mu' \rangle \neq 0.$$

Hence,

$$I \ni \forall \mu \neq 0, \exists \mu' \in I \text{ such that } \mu = \mu'.$$

In particular, since  $\mu' \in \mu + 2\mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\text{Im } \mu = \text{Im } \overline{\mu'} = -\text{Im } \mu' = -\text{Im } \mu.$$

This shows  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $\mu \in I$ . Moreover, if  $\mu, \mu' \in I \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mu \neq \mu'$ , then (4.4) implies that  $\langle v_\mu, v_{\mu'} \rangle = 0$ . Since  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is non-degenerate,  $\langle v_\mu, v_\mu \rangle \neq 0$ .  $\square$

From now on, we may and will assume  $I \subset \mu_0 + 2\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

#### 4.4 X, Y condition

Suppose  $W = V(\lambda, I)$  is a unitary representation with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . We examine the condition (4.3):

$$\langle \pi'(X)u, v \rangle + \langle u, \pi'(Y)v \rangle = 0 \text{ for } \forall u, v \in W.$$

If  $\mu, \mu + 2 \in I$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \langle \pi'(X)v_\mu, v_{\mu+2} \rangle + \langle v_\mu, \pi'(Y)v_{\mu+2} \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{\mu - \lambda}{2} v_{\mu+2}, v_{\mu+2} \right\rangle + \left\langle v_\mu, \frac{-\mu - 2 - \lambda}{2} v_\mu \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{\mu - \lambda}{2} \langle v_{\mu+2}, v_{\mu+2} \rangle - \frac{\mu + 2 + \overline{\lambda}}{2} \langle v_\mu, v_\mu \rangle.\end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\langle v_{\mu+2}, v_{\mu+2} \rangle}{\langle v_\mu, v_\mu \rangle} (\mu - \lambda)(\mu + 2 + \lambda) &= (\mu + 2 + \overline{\lambda})(\mu + 2 + \lambda) \\ &= |\mu + 2 + \lambda|^2 \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

If  $\mu, \mu + 2 \in I$ , then  $(\mu - \lambda)(\mu + 2 + \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . Since  $(\mu - \lambda)(\mu + 2 + \lambda) = (\mu + 1)^2 - (\lambda + 1)^2$ , we have

$$(\lambda + 1)^2 \in (\mu + 1)^2 + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \subset \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.6)$$

So,  $\lambda + 1 \in \mathbb{R}$  or  $\lambda + 1 \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$ .

#### 4.5 The case (1) in Theorem 3.27

We consider  $V(\lambda, I)$  with the case  $I = \mu_0 + 2\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\pm\lambda \notin I$ .

##### 4.5.1 The case $\lambda + 1 \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$

If  $\lambda + 1 \neq 0$ , then  $\pm\lambda \notin I$  is automatic, since  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{R}$ .

If  $\lambda + 1 = 0$ , then the condition  $\pm\lambda \notin I$  exclude the case

$$I = 1 + 2\mathbb{Z} = \{\text{odd integers}\}.$$

Note that for all  $\mu \in I$ , we have

$$\frac{\mu + 2 + \bar{\lambda}}{2} = \frac{\mu + 1 + \overline{1 + \lambda}}{2} = \frac{\mu + 1 - (1 + \lambda)}{2} = \mu - \lambda \neq 0.$$

This and (4.5) imply that

$$\langle v_{\mu+2}, v_{\mu+2} \rangle = \langle v_{\mu}, v_{\mu} \rangle \text{ for all } \mu \in I.$$

This show that  $\{v_{\mu} \mid \mu \in \mu_0 + 2\mathbb{Z}\}$  is an orthonormal basis basis of  $V(\lambda, \mu_0 + 2\mathbb{Z})$  with respect to the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . This class of unitary representations  $V(\lambda, \mu_0 + 2\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\lambda + 1 \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mu_0 + 2\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(\lambda, \mu_0 + 2\mathbb{Z}) \neq (-1, \{\text{odd integers}\})$$

is called (unitary) *principal series representations*.

##### 4.5.2 The case $\lambda + 1 \in \mathbb{R}$ , $\lambda + 1 \neq 0$

The necessary condition (4.6):

$$(\lambda + 1)^2 \leq (\mu + 1)^2 \text{ for all } \mu \in I. \quad (4.7)$$

We may and will take  $\mu_0$  with  $-2 < \mu_0 \leq 0$  as a representative of  $I = \mu_0 + 2\mathbb{Z}$ . Note that

$$(\mu_0 + 1)^2 = \min\{(\mu + 1)^2 \mid \mu \in I\}.$$

Then the condition (4.7) is equivalent to

$$(\lambda + 1)^2 \leq (\mu_0 + 1)^2,$$

that is,

$$-|\mu_0 + 1| \leq \lambda + 1 \leq |\mu_0 + 1|.$$

The irreducibility condition  $\pm\lambda \notin \mu_0 + 2\mathbb{Z}$  implies that

$$\pm(\lambda + 1) \notin (\mu_0 + 1) + 2\mathbb{Z},$$

that is,

$$-|\mu_0 + 1| < \lambda + 1 < |\mu_0 + 1|.$$

This class of unitary representations  $V(\lambda, \mu_0 + 2\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $-2 < \mu_0 \leq 0$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < |\lambda + 1| < |\mu_0 + 1|$  is called *complementary series representations*.

#### 4.6 The case (2) (and (3)) in Theorem 3.27

We consider  $V(\lambda, I)$  with  $I = \lambda + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . We apply (4.6) for  $\mu = \lambda - 2$ . Then we obtain  $\lambda \leq 0$ . The condition  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  implies  $\lambda < 0$ . For the case (3), we consider  $V(\lambda, I)$  with

$$I = -\lambda + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \subset \mathbb{R}, \lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

We apply (4.6) for  $\mu = -\lambda$ . Then we obtain  $\lambda \leq 0$ . The condition  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  implies  $\lambda < 0$ . These classes of representations  $V(\lambda, \lambda + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})$  and  $V(\lambda, -\lambda + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$  with  $\lambda < 0$  are called *discrete series representations*.

#### 4.7 The case (4) in Theorem 3.27

We consider  $V(\lambda, I)$  with  $I = [-\lambda, \lambda]$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}$ , we apply (4.6) for  $\mu = \lambda - 2$ . Then we obtain  $\lambda \leq 0$ , which is a contradiction. Then the case  $\lambda < 0$  is not unitary. The representation  $V(0, \{0\}) = \mathbb{C}$  is called a *trivial representation*.

#### 4.8 Irreducible unitary representations

As a summary:

**Theorem 4.5.** *The list of irreducible unitary representations of  $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$  of the form  $V(\lambda, I)$  is*

- (1)  $V(\lambda, \mu_0 + 2\mathbb{Z})$ ;  $\lambda + 1 \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mu_0 + 2\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(\lambda, \mu_0 + 2\mathbb{Z}) \neq (-1, \{\text{odd}\})$ ,

- (1')  $V(\lambda, \mu_0 + 2\mathbb{Z}); -2 < \mu_0 \leq 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < |\lambda + 1| < |\mu_0 + 1|$ ,
- (2)  $V(\lambda, \lambda + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}); \lambda < 0$ ,
- (3)  $V(\lambda, -\lambda + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}); \lambda < 0$ ,
- (4)  $V(0, \{0\}) = \mathbb{C}$ .

irreducible	$\lambda + 1$ : pure imaginary	$\lambda + 1$ : real
(1)	(1): principal series	(1'): complementary series
(2),(3)	no	(2),(3): discrete series
(4)	no	(4): trivial

**Remark 4.6.** *In order to obtain the complete list of irreducible unitary representations of  $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$ , we need the following:*

- *Every irreducible unitary representation does arise as a subrepresentation of some  $V(\lambda, \mu_0 + 2\mathbb{Z})$ .*
- *We only discuss the necessary conditions to be unitary. We need to show that every representations above are actually unitary.*
- *We have not introduced the notion of isomorphism of representations. There are a few nontrivial isomorphism between the representations above. For example,*

$$V(\lambda, \mu_0 + 2\mathbb{Z}) \cong V(-\lambda - 2, \mu_0 + 2\mathbb{Z}).$$

*To obtain the complete list, we should exclude such duplications.*

*These matters are omitted in this note.*